

Hogan & Hartson LLP Columbia Square 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 +1.202.637.5600 Tel +1.202.637.5910 Fax

www.hhlaw.com

David L. Sieradzki Partner +1.202.637.6462 DLSieradzki@hhlaw.com

May 16, 2007

Marlene Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth St., SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Alenco Communications, Inc., et al., Petition for Declaratory Ruling and Preemption,

CC Docket No. 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

DialToneServices, L.P. ("DTS"), pursuant to § 1.46 of the Commission's rule, 47 C.F.R. § 1.46, hereby requests an extension of time in the pleading cycle in the above referenced proceeding.

On April 25, 2007, the Wireline Competition Bureau issued a Public Notice (DA 07-1848) seeking comment on the Petition of Alenco Communications, Inc., et al., for a Declaratory Ruling and for Preemption of an Order by the Public Utility Commission of Texas ("Alenco Petition"), and establishing an initial comment date of May 25, 2007 and a reply comment date of June 11, 2007. DTS respectfully suggests deferring the comment cycle by six weeks, to July 6, 2007 for initial comments and July 23, 2007 for reply comments.

Good cause exists for the requested extension because comments are due at approximately the same time in two other, closely-related rulemaking proceedings involving competitive eligible telecommunications carriers and universal service support: (1) the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding the Joint Board's recommended decision (FCC 07-88, released May 14, 2007) (initial comments due 14 days after publication in the Federal Register and reply comments 7 days thereafter); and the Joint Board's Public Notice seeking comment on long term, comprehensive high-cost universal service reform (FCC 07J-2, released May 1, 2007) (initial comments due May 31, 2007 and reply comments due July 2, 2007).

All parties with an interest in the Alenco Petition are likely to be occupied with drafting comments and replies in the two rulemaking proceedings during the next six weeks. The requested extension of time would enable the parties and the Commission to develop a more complete record on the important issues raised in the Alenco Petition.

Marlene Dortch May 16, 2007 Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

David L. Sieradzki

Counsel to DialToneServices, L.P.

cc: Renee Crittendon Randy Clarke Jeremy Marcus

Benjamin Dickens, Jr., counsel for Alenco Communications, Inc., et al.